Organic & Chemistry Chemistry Chemistry

PAPER

Cite this: Org. Biomol. Chem., 2013, 11, 496

Received 4th October 2012, Accepted 7th November 2012 DOI: 10.1039/c2ob26944a

<www.rsc.org/obc>

Sulfoxide-TFAA and nucleophile combination as new reagent for aliphatic C–H functionalization at indole 2α-position†‡

Masanori Tayu, Kazuhiro Higuchi,* Masato Inaba and Tomomi Kawasaki*

Aliphatic C–H functionalization at indole 2α -position mediated by acyloxythionium species 1 generated from sulfoxide and acid anhydride has been developed. The combination of sulfoxide and TFAA with O-, N- and C-nucleophiles enabled introduction of various substituents in a one-pot procedure. Especially on utilizing DMSO, the combination provided a practical and efficient method for the synthesis of a wide range of 2α-substituted indoles.

The combination of sulfoxide and acid anhydride is a useful reagent for organic synthesis owing to the powerful electrophilicity of acyloxythionium species 1 generated in situ.¹ Typical reactions are Swern–Moffatt type oxidation of alcohols, 2 the oxidation of thiols to disulfides, 3 the conversion of amines to iminosulfuranes,⁴ and transformations of alkenes, aromatics and enols to vinyl-, $5,6,7a$ aryl- 8 and β-keto-sulfonium salts, 9 and so on.¹⁰ Recently, these reactions have been extended to onepot multi-component reactions by adding other nucleophiles for the development of efficient synthetic methods. For example, acyloxythionium 1-mediated substitution of alcohols with enolates, 11 glycosylation of 1-hydroxy- 12 and 1-thiophenylglycocyl derivatives, 13 addition of 1 to alkenes followed by amines or imides sequentially to produce aziridines, $7,8a$ allyl amines,^{7,8b} or enamides,^{7,8c} and 1-activated 2-amido-¹⁴ and 2-hydroxy-glycosylations of glycal enol ethers.¹⁵ Especially, many uses of acyloxythionium species 1 in one-pot multi-component reactions starting from addition to carbon–carbon double bond have been reported, although the application of such a one-pot multi-component reaction to aromatics is limited because of the stability of the initially generated arylsulfonium salts. The only known examples are intramolecular aromatic substitutions.¹⁶ In our recent communication, we reported the acyloxythionium 1-mediated intermolecular C–H functionalization at indole 2α-position utilizing a DMSO-TFAA-nucleophile combination.¹⁷ In the present paper, we report the full details of the combination of the sulfoxides containing DMSO, **PAPER**

Sulfoxide-TFAA and nucleophile combination as new

determine 2α -position 1+
 α -position 1+
 α -position 1+
 α -position 1+

Masanon Tayu, Kazuhira Higuchi,* Masato Inaba and Tomomi Kawasaki*

Aliphatic

RSCPublishing

Fig. 1 Biologically active 2α -substituted indoles

TFAA and nucleophiles as efficient reagents for the synthesis of 2α-substituted indole derivatives, which are attractive intermediates for synthesis of biologically active compounds, NPY antagonist $2,^{18}$ anti-HPV agent $3,^{19}$ and PGD₂ antagonist 4^{20} (Fig. 1).

C–H functionalization by combination of diaryl sulfoxide-**TFAA**

In order to realize the acyloxythionium species-mediated intermolecular C–H functionalization at the 2α -position of indoles, we initially studied the reaction of tetrahydrocarbazole and the acyloxythionium species generated from diphenyl sulfoxide

Meiji Pharmaceutical University, 2-522-1 Noshio, Kiyose, Tokyo 204-8588, Japan. E-mail: kawasaki@my-pharm.ac.jp, khiguchi@my-pharm.ac.jp;

Fax: +81 42 495 8763; Tel: +81 42 495 8763

[†]This work is dedicated to Dr Masanori Sakamoto, Professor Emeritus of Meiji Pharmaceutical University, on the occasion of his 77th birthday (KIJU). ‡Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: General synthetic

procedures and NMR data of compounds. See DOI: 10.1039/c2ob26944a

Table 1 Reactivity of N-substituted indoles

 a Isolated yield. b ND = Not detected.

and TFAA (Table 1). To a solution of N-acetyl tetrahydrocarbazole 6a and diphenyl sulfoxide (5a) in dichloromethane was added TFAA. After the consumption of 6a, MeOH was added to afford 2α-methoxy compound 7a in 9% yield with recovery of 6a (87%) (entry 1). In the case of N-methoxycarbonyl compound 6b, the desired product 7b was obtained in 20% yield with recovery of 6b (71%) (entry 2). Since this is attributed to reduced reactivity of 6a and 6b with an electron-withdrawing group, we performed the reactions involving N-unsubstituted 6c and electron-donating N-p-methoxybenzyl (PMB) compound 6d. In the case of 6c, the result was disappointing, but the reaction of 6d afforded desired compound 7d (32%) together with dimer 8d $(33%)$ (entry 4).²¹

A plausible reaction mechanism is shown in Scheme 1. The reaction of sulfoxide 5 and TFAA generates the acyloxythionium species 1, which is subjected to nucleophilic attack of 6d to produce thionium intermediate 9. After deprotonation to form enamine 10, subsequent S_N2' -type reaction of 10 with MeOH occurs at the 2α -position of indole to give 7d. Excess MeOH also attacks the sulfur atom 14 of intermediate 9 to regenerate 6d, which is introduced at the 2α -position of 10 to afford dimer 8d.²²

To improve the yield of 7d, the suppression of the above dimerization was required. Therefore we examined the substituent effect on the aryl sulfoxide 5 (Table 2). Initially, the use of sulfoxides 5b and 5c with an electron-donating group increased the yield of desired product 7d (42% and 54%) along with suppressing the formation of 8d, respectively (entries 2 and 3). This result implies that the electron-donating substituent of sulfoxide 5 decreased the positive charge on the sulfur atom of 9 to prevent the attack of MeOH. In the cases of 5d and 5e with electron-withdrawing groups, the reactions gave the undesired dimer 8d (22% and 13%) with recovery of starting material 6d (entries 4 and 5). Electron-deficient sulfoxides were inefficient to react with TFAA. Therefore, dimerization occurred before consumption of 6d. On using sulfoxides 5f and 5g with ortho substituent, desired product 7d was obtained in poor yields with recovery of 6d. This result could

Scheme 1 Plausible reaction mechanism for acyloxythionium mediated reaction.

be explained by assuming that the crowded sulfur atom of the acyloxythionium species 1 could not come close to 6d to form 9 (entries 6 and 7).

Next, we investigated the equivalent ratio of sulfoxide 5b and TFAA (Table 3). The yield of 7d was improved by increasing the ratio of 5b and TFAA to 6d. As a result, the use of 3 equiv. to 6d dramatically improved the yield of 7d to 93% without dimerization. This can be explained in terms of an equilibrium shift toward thionium intermediate 9 by use of excess acyloxythionium species 1.

Under the optimized conditions, we examined the scope and limitation of this reaction (Table 4). In addition to 6-membered ring-fused indole 6d (Table 3, entry 3), this reaction could be applied to 5- and 7-membered ring-fused indoles 6e and 6f to give 7e and 7f in moderate yields, respectively (entries 1 and 2). On using 2,3-dimethylindole 6g, 7g was obtained in 29% yield with recovery of 6g in 12% (entry 3).

Next, we investigated the reaction of 6d with various nucleophiles (Table 5). Secondary alcohol afforded 51% yield of the corresponding product 7h (entry 1), but tertiary alcohol and n-octanethiol did not form 7i and 7j (entries 2 and 3). Azide and primary amine were introduced as nitrogen substituents in good yields (entries 4 and 5). Subsequently, to apply this reaction to C–C bond formation, we tried utilizing several carbon nucleophiles. Although trimethylsilyl cyanide did not undergo the desired reaction (entry 6), N-methylindole gave product 7n quantitatively (entry 7).

Additionally, we attempted to introduce carbon substituents with various organometallic reagents (Table 6). Methylmagnesium iodide gave methylated product 7o in 44% yield (entry 1). When methylmagnesium chloride and bromide were used, ketone 11 and 5-brominated ketone 12 were obtained without formation of the desired 7o, respectively (entries 2 and 3). In the cases of trimethylaluminum, dimethylzinc and diethylzinc, the corresponding alkylated products 7o and 7p were obtained in good yields (entries 4–6). By using divinylzinc or lithium dimethylcuprate, however, 11 was obtained

instead of desired products 7q and 7o, respectively (entries 7 and 8).

Although the combination of diaryl sulfoxide and TFAA has the limitation in some of the applied carbon nucleophiles, we revealed that the combination of diaryl sulfoxide-TFAA and carbon nucleophile realized its potential for carbon–carbon bond formation.

A plausible mechanism of the formation of 11 is shown in Scheme 2. On the way of the reaction with some organometallic reagents, regenerated sulfoxide 5 attacks to 2α-position of 10, and Kornblum-type oxidation²³ of 13 proceeds to afford 11.

C–H functionalization by the combination of alkyl sulfoxide-TFAA and nucleophile

As described above, the reaction utilized the acyloxythionium species, generated from diaryl sulfoxide, required excess reagent and restricted the kind of nucleophile to be introduced. Therefore, we further optimized the combined reagent using various sulfoxides for the expansion of the reaction scope.

Table 6 C–C bond formation with organometallic reagents

Table 4 Application of p -Tol₂SO-TFAA system to other indole derivatives

 a Isolated yield. b ND = Not detected.

 a Isolated yield. b ND = Not detected.

Scheme 2 Plausible reaction mechanism of oxidation at 2α -position.

Initially, we examined the use of aryl methyl and dimethyl sulfoxides instead of diaryl sulfoxide (Table 7). In comparison with diphenyl sulfoxide (5a) (Table 2, entry 1), the use of methyl phenyl sulfoxide (5h) improved the yield of desired product 7d (57%) through decreased formation of dimer 8d (15%) (Table 7, entry 1). On using p -tolyl and p -anisyl methyl sulfoxides (5i and 5j), the yield of 7d were dramatically increased (entries 2 and 3). To our surprise, we found that DMSO 5k gave 7d in 93% yield without the formation of dimer 8d (entry 4).

Secondly, the effect of nucleophile usage under DMSO-T-FAA conditions was optimized. Several experiments showed

Table 7 Further optimization with alkyl sulfoxides

Table 8 Application of the DMSO-TFAA system to various indole derivatives

that the amount of MeOH could be reduced to 5 equiv. (entry 5).

With these improvements (Table 7), we found a practical method for C–H functionalizing at the indole 2α-position by utilizing the DMSO-TFAA system. We applied the optimized reaction conditions to various indoles (Table 8). As a result, indoles 6e, 6f and 6r–6u gave the corresponding products in high yields. Subsequently, we investigated a variety of nucleophiles (Table 9). In addition to MeOH as oxygen nucleophile, p-cresol afforded aryl ether 7v in 70% yield (entry 1). Azide and amine as nitrogen nucleophiles also gave the corresponding products 7k and 7l in high yields (entries 2 and 3). With Grignard reagents, methyl, vinyl and allyl groups were introduced quantitatively (entries 4–6). When N-methylindole as aromatic nucleophile was used, the desired product 7n was

Table 9 Introduction of various nucleophiles using DMSO-TFAA system

obtained in excellent yield (entry 7). The DMSO-TFAA system achieved remarkable improvement in the yield of 7 with Grignard reagents in comparison with that of the p -Tol₂SO-T-FAA system (Table 6).

Conclusions

We have developed a new procedure for C–H functionalization at the indole 2α-position mediated by the combination of sulfoxide-TFAA and nucleophile. Especially, the DMSO-TFAA system gave the best results in the desired C–H functionalization including C–C bond formation, which is a useful method for the synthesis of natural products and biologically active compounds.²⁴

Our extended studies of this reaction to an asymmetric version and synthesis of biologically active compounds such as 2 and 3 are under investigation in our laboratory.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by a grant from the High-Tech Research Center Project, the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT), Japan (S0801043). We thank N. Eguchi, T. Koseki, and S. Yamada at the Analytical Center of our university for performing microanalysis, NMR and mass spectral measurements.

Notes and references

1 (a) T. T. Tidwell, EROS, 2009, 4334; (b) D. Y. Gin and A. Banerjee, EROS, 2009, 4562.

- 2 (a) K. Omura and D. Swern, Tetrahedron, 1978, 34, 1651; (b) A. J. Mancuso and D. Swern, Synthesis, 1981, 165; (c) T. T. Tidwell, *Synthesis*, 1990, 857; (d) T. T. Tidwell, EROS, 2009, 4322.
- 3 Y. Hiraki, M. Kamiya, R. Tanikaga, N. Ono and A. Kaji, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1977, 50, 447.
- 4 (a) A. K. Sharma and D. Swern, Tetrahedron Lett., 1974, 16, 1503; (b) A. K. Sharma, T. Ku, A. D. Dawson and D. Swern, J. Org. Chem., 1975, 40, 2758; (c) P. Kirsch, M. Lenges, D. Kühne and K.-P. Wanczek, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2005, 797; (d) Y. Macé, C. Urban, C. Pradet, J. Marrot, J.-C. Blazejewski and E. Magnier, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2009, 3150.
- 5 V. G. Nenajdenko, P. V. Vertelezkij, I. D. Gridnev, N. E. Shevchenko and E. S. Balenkova, Tetrahedron, 1997, 53, 8173.
- 6 H. Yamanaka, J. Matsuo, A. Kawana and T. Mukaiyama, ARKIVOC, 2004 (iii), 42.
- 7 (a) J. Matsuo, H. Yamanaka, A. Kawana and T. Mukaiyama, Chem. Lett., 2003, 32, 392; (b) H. Yamanaka, J. Matsuo, A. Kawana and T. Mukaiayama, Chem. Lett., 2003, 32, 626; (c) H. Yamanaka and T. Mukaiyama, Chem. Lett., 2003, 32, 1192.
- 8 (a) Y. Endo, K. Shudo and T. Okamoto, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 1981, 29, 3753; (b) K. Hartke and D. Strangemann, Heterocycles, 1986, 24, 2399; (c) T. Shoji, J. Higashi, S. Ito, K. Toyota, T. Asao, M. Yasunami, K. Fujimori and N. Morita, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2008, 1242; (d) A. Fürstner, M. Alcarazo, K. Radkowski and C. W. Lehmann, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 8302.
- 9 K. Hartke and D. Teuber, Liebigs Ann. Chem., 1988, 225.
- 10 Recent reviews see; (a) S. K. Bur and A. Padwa, Chem. Rev., 2004, 104, 2401; (b) L. H. S. Smith, S. C. Coote, H. F. Sneddon and D. J. Procter, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 5832; (c) K. S. Feldman, Tetrahedron, 2006, 62, 5003; (d) S. Akai and Y. Kita, Top. Curr. Chem., 2007, 274, 35; (e) X. Huang, S. Klimczyk and N. Maulide, Synthesis, 2012, 175.
- 11 T. Takuwa, J. Y. Onishi, J. Matsuo and T. Mukaiyama, Chem. Lett., 2004, 33, 8.
- 12 (a) B. A. Garcia, J. L. Poole and D. Y. Gin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1997, 119, 7597; (b) B. A. Garcia and D. Y. Gin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2000, 122, 4269; (c) Y.-J. Kim and D. Y. Gin, Org. Lett., 2001, 3, 1801; (d) H. M. Nguyen, J. L. Poole and D. Y. Gin, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2001, 40, 414; (e) J. M. Haberman and D. Y. Gin, Org. Lett., 2003, 5, 2539; (f) J. D. C. Codée, L. H. Hossain and P. H. Seeberger, Org. Lett., 2005, 7, 3251; (g) J. Dinkelaar, J. D. C. Codée, L. J. van den Bos, H. S. Overkleeft and G. A. van der Marel, J. Org. Chem., 2007, 72, 5737; (h) D. Ye, W. Liu, D. Zhang, E. Feng, H. Jiang and H. Liu, J. Org. Chem., 2009, 74, 1733; (i) M. A. Fascione, S. J. Adshead, P. K. Mandal, C. A. Kilner, A. G. Leach and W. B. Turnbull, Chem.–Eur. J., 2012, 18, 2987.
- 13 (a) D. Crich and M. Smith, Org. Lett., 2000, 2, 4067; (b) J. D. C. Codée, R. E. J. N. Litjens, R. den Heeten, H. S. Overkleeft, J. H. van Boom and G. A. van der Marel,

Org. Lett., 2003, 5, 1519; (c) J. D. C. Codée, L. J. van den Bos, R. E. J. N. Litjens, H. S. Overkleeft, J. H. van Boom and G. A. van der Marel, Org. Lett., 2003, 5, 1947; (d) J. D. C. Codée, L. J. van den Bos, R. E. J. N. Litjens, H. S. Overkleeft, C. A. A. van Boeckel, J. H. van Boom and G. A. van der Marel, Tetrahedron, 2004, 60, 1057; (e) R. E. J. N. Litjens, L. J. van den Bos, J. D. C. Codée, R. J. B. H. N. van den Berg, H. S. Overkleeft and G. A. van der Marel, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2005, 918; (f) D. Cato, T. Buskas and G.-J. Boons, J. Carbohydr. Chem., 2005, 24, 503; (g) D. Crich and W. Li, Org. Lett., 2006, 8, 959; (h) J. Dinkelaar, L. J. van den Bos, W. F. J. Hogendorf, G. Lodder, H. S. Overkleeft, J. D. C. Codée and G. A. van der Marel, Chem.–Eur. J., 2008, 14, 9400. Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry

2 (a) K. Omam and D. Swent, Parkeloon, 1978, 34, 1631;

(b) 1, 1, November 2012 on H. Swent, Parkeloon, 1978, 1, November 2012 on the Sauch Constant Parkeloon and D. Swenter J. H. Swente

- 14 (a) J. Liu and D. Y. Gin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124, 9789; (b) J. Liu, V. Di Bussolo and D. Y. Gin, Tetrahedron Lett., 2003, 44, 4015.
- 15 (a) V. Di Bussolo, Y.-J. Kim and D. Y. Gin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1998, 120, 13515; (b) J.-Y. Kim, V. Di Bussolo and D. Y. Gin, Org. Lett., 2001, 3, 303; (c) L. Shi, Y.-J. Kim and D. Y. Gin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2001, 123, 6939; (d) E. Honda and D. Y. Gin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124, 7343.
- 16 (a) D. K. Bates, R. T. Winters and J. A. Picard, J. Org. Chem., 1992, 57, 3094; (b) M. Amat, M.-L. Bennasar, S. Hadida, B. A. Sufi, E. Zulaica and J. Bosch, Tetrahedron Lett., 1996, 37, 5217; (c) T. Kawasaki, H. Suzuki, I. Sakata, H. Nakanishi and M. Sakamoto, Tetrahedron Lett., 1997, 38, 3251; (d) Y. Horiguchi, A. Sonobe, T. Saitoh, J. Toda and T. Sano, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 2001, 49, 1132.
- 17 K. Higuchi, M. Tayu and T. Kawasaki, Chem. Commun., 2011, 6728.
- 18 R. Di Fabio, R. Giovannini, B. Bertani, M. Borriello, A. Bozzoli, D. Donati, A. Falchi, D. Ghirlanda, C. P. Leslie, A. Pecunioso, G. Rumboldt and S. Spada, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2006, 16, 1749.
- 19 (a) S. D. Boggs, J. D. Cobb, K. S. Gudmundsson, L. A. Jones, R. T. Matsuoka, A. Millar, D. E. Patterson, V. Samano, M. D. Trone, S. Xie and X. Zhou, Org. Process Res. Dev., 2007, 11, 539; (b) K. S. Gudmundsson, P. R. Sebahar, L. D. Richardson, J. G. Catalano, S. D. Boggs, A. Spaltenstein, P. B. Sethna, K. W. Brown, R. Harvey and K. R. Romines, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2009, 19, 3489; (c) K. S. Gudmundsson, S. D. Boggs, P. R. Sebahar, L. D. Richardson, A. Spaltenstein, P. Golden, P. B. Sethna, K. W. Brown, K. Moniri, R. Harvey and K. R. Romines, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2009, 19, 4110.
- 20 L. Li, C. Beaulieu, M.-C. Carriere, D. Denis, G. Greig, D. Guay, G. O'Neill, R. Zamboni and Z. Wang, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2010, 20, 7462.
- 21 TFAA was more suitable to activate 5a than other acid anhydrides such as acetic anhydride and triflic anhydride.
- 22 The similar indole dimerization is known in the synthesis of vinblastine: S. Yokoshima, T. Ueda, S. Kobayashi, A. Sato, T. Kuboyama, H. Tokuyama and T. Fukuyama, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124, 2137.
- 23 (a) N. Kornblum, J. W. Powers, G. J. Anderson, W. J. Jones, H. O. Larson, O. Levand and W. M. Weaver, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1957, 79, 6562; (b) P. Dave, H.-S. Byun and R. Engel, Synth. Commun., 1986, 16, 1343.
- 24 To date, a variety of substitution reactions at the indole 2α-position through 3-halogenated^{a-c} or 3-protonated^{d,e} indolenines have been reported. However, these reactions have limitation of substituents to introduce. Representative references, see; (a) G. Büchi and R. E. Manning, J. Am.

Chem. Soc., 1966, 88, 2532; (b) H. Sakakibara and T. Kobayashi, Tetrahedron, 1966, 22, 2475; (c) M. Ikeda, F. Tabusa, Y. Nishimura, S. Kwon and Y. Tamura, Tetrahedron Lett., 1976, 27, 2347; (d) A. S. Bailey, J. B. Haxby, A. N. Hilton, J. M. Peach and M. H. Vandrevala, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1981, 382; (e) Y. Murakami, A. Ishii, H. Ozawa, H. Okahira, K. Hosokawa, T. Tashiro, J. Muto, H. Suzuki, M. Tani and Y. Yokoyama, Heterocycles, 2003, 61, 225. Paper

23 (a) N. Kornblum, J. W. Powers, G. J. Anderson, W. J. Jones, *Chem.* Soc., 1966, **88**, 2513; (b) II. Sakaklbara and

H. O. Larson, O. Leward, J. W. Wester, J. J. W. Chem, T. K. Chem, I. W. Roby, 1978-1920 (c) M. U